|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Beginning** | **Developing**  | **Accomplished** | **Exemplary**  |
| Research process, strategies, & selection of sources; prior knowledge*(How did you find your information? How did you address any bias you already had?)* | * Research question could be better introduced
* Database, sources, and/or keywords are hard to find on the poster or through conversation
* Prior knowledge is solely opinion (bias)
 | * A search strategy is evident but not explained
* Limited keyword development/exploration
* Resources are largely from Google, similar in content/source type
* Personal bias may interfere with ability to balance opinion with findings of selected sources
 | * Search strategy is explained
* Keyword development influenced sources
* Discipline-specific sources are used (e.g., databases, journals)
* Personal bias balanced with knowledge gained from sources
 | **Meets all "Accomplished" criteria** **and may have**:* Used bibliography from sources to find more resources
* Recognized & used impact factor (via Web of Science or Google Scholar)
* Browsed through key journals in field
* Controlled vocabulary or other advanced database features used
* Acknowledged personal biases
 |
| Source integration*(How did you use your information?)* | * Arguments are not supported with evidence
* Research was done in isolation without considerations of all aspects of topic or related fields
* Lack of understanding of the topic
 | * Sources do not support argument or provide appropriate evidence
 | * Sources are integrated to support arguments and provide evidence
* Some connection is made between the topic as discussed in professional fields
 | * Sources are fully integrated to support or contextualize arguments
* Clear connection of how work adds to the scholarly conversation
 |
| Attributions & Citations*(Did you give credit?)* | * Poster lacks attributions or citations for sources and visual elements
 | * Some sources and visual elements are given attribution, but are not consistent and some are missing entirely
 | * While all sources and visual elements are given attribution, there are some inconsistencies
 | * All sources and visual elements are cited in a consistent format and are complete throughout
 |

**Be prepared to respond to these, or similar, questions:**

**General**

* What led you to be interested in this topic?

**How did you find your information?**

* What were your research questions and how did you decide on them?
* How did you decide what sources to use?
* How did you find the information you used?
* What kind of prior knowledge/bias did you already have about this topic?

**How did you use your information?**

* Did any of your sources show you new ways of looking at your topic?
* How do you think your work adds to the research on this topic?

**Did you give credit?**

* What citation style did you choose for this project, and why?
* How did you find any images you used?